# **Other Submissions**

In order to process your filing, please fill out the following information. If you do not know the docket number, please leave it blank and we will fill it out for you.

Please fill out the following information to help us complete your filing:

Docket #:

FD

-34075

Subject: \*

Six County Association of Governments - Construction and Operation

Exemption - Rail Line Between Levan and Salina, Utah

First Name: \*

Larry and Barbara

Middle Name:

Last Name: \*
Address: \*

Gilbert

1080 West

Redmond Lake Road

City: \*

Redmond

State: \*
Zip Code: \*

Utah

Email Address: \*

84652mccalls@airzip.net

Group/Affiliation:

Homeowner

Message:

We received the packet of information you sent in May regarding the Rail Line Project between Levan and Salina. We really appreciate your efforts in keeping us informed as

to the steps you are taking regarding the construction process of the Rail Line. In our scoping out Alternative C, we feel that this proposed line is far enough away from our home, that it is much less likely to be a threat to the lives of our young children,

especially to our autistic twins.

We appreciate the consideration you have shown in your comments (in your packet)

under Rail Operations and Safety.

We are agreeable and supportive to the construction of Rail Line Alternative C. Again we wanted to mention that we are against the construction of Alternative B, because of the safety issue regarding our children and in being extremely close to our

Please continue to keep us informed as this Rail Line Project progresses.

Sincerely,

Larry and Barbara Gilbert

# Surface Transportation Board Incoming Correspondence Record

#EI-870

| Correspondence in | ormation  |                   | Status: New                        |
|-------------------|---|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| * Required Fields | 1. 1. 1912年与中国中国共和国共和国共和国共和国共和国共和国共和国共和国共和国共和国共和国共和国共和国 | Recorded by       | Phillis Johnson-Ball on 06/14/2004 |
| *Docket #:        | FD 34075 0  |                   |                                    |
| *Name of Sender:  | Jody A. Gale  | Affiliation:      | Applicant                          |
| Group:            |   | Letter Type:      |                                    |
| Attention Of:     | Phillis Johnson-Ball                                    |                   |                                    |
| *Date Received:   | 06/14/2004  | NEPA Type:        |                                    |
| Date of Letter:   | 06/11/2004  | In Public Docket? | ● Yes ○ No                         |
| Group's Address:  | 250 N Main Cnty Adm Bldg                                |                   |                                    |
| •                 | ,   | Phone Number:     | 435 893-0479                       |
| Group's City:     | Richfield   | Email Address:    | jodyg@exr.usu.edu                  |
| Group's State:    | Utah  | Group's Zip Code: | 84701-                             |

#### Submitter's Comments

June 11, 2004

Phillis Johnson-Ball
Section of Environmental Analysis
Case Control Unit
STB Finance Docket No. 34075
Surface Transportation Board
1925 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20423-0001

Dear Phillis Johnson-Ball:

I appreciate the opportunity to provide comments regarding the proposed short-line railroad from the Juab siding area near Levan to the Salina area in central Utah. I have reviewed the Final Scope of Analysis and are generally very supportive of the process, procedure explained. I do have a few significant comments of that I ask you to consider.

Regarding Sea 34582 dated May 14, 2004 Section #4 Water Resources, response section.

I would respectfully request that in addition to "The EIS will consider mitigation..." that the words "avoidance and minimization" be included to further define the measures available to reduce the possible impacts on Water Resources. As a member of the agricultural technical committee I have worked extensively with private land owners as we have identified and purpose a possible alignment that avoids and minimizes impacts on private land owners, agriculture operations and is realistic to engineer and operate. In your "responses" sections under the Redmond WMA "avoidance and minimization" are listed along with "mitigation" to deal with potential impacts. I respectfully request the same consideration for people in agriculture who represent a larger number of landowners.

Regarding Alternative B.

The northern section of alternative B from its initiation point at the preferred alternative south to highway 50 would impact a number of irrigation systems and some farmland. It would also impact 2-3 homes on the east side of the canal. Alternative C would only impact one home on the west side of the canal. Alternative C alignment on the west side of the canal would avoid most of the irrigation systems and all farm land. This is a workable portion of alternative B if it terminates at highway 50, but alternative C is a much better proposal than this

## portion of B.

2. The southern section of Alternative B from Highway 50 to Lost Creek will severely impact a large number of agricultural fields and farm families. The farmland in this area is some of the best in the valley and has been identified as "Prime". In the area the slope of the land would likely dictate the alignment would bisect the fields in a triangular pattern and would not likely follow existing section lines and field boundaries to minimize impacts. This would cause most fields to be fragmented into triangular shapes that are very, very difficult to farm and eliminates farm machinery efficiencies associated with large square fields. I also question the wisdom in considering this portion of B from an engineering perspective. There is a significant grade changes from the Highway 50 area of alternative B to the Lost Creek load-out. This will likely greatly increase the cost of operation for trains to navigate the grade. Organized opposition from the agriculture community, farm orginizations and some elected officials would very likely occur due to the importance of this farm land and the numbers of families impacted. There are also at least 2 homes and a dairy replacement heifer operation that would also be seriously impacted by alternative B.

## Regarding Alternative C.

This is the best alternative on the southern portion of the short line railroad. It avoids and minimizes most impacts on agriculture and residential dwellings as long as the final alignment stays on the west side of the canal. A load out facility located at the end of alternative C near highway 50 would avoid and minimize most impacts on agricultural land. It also impacts the least amount of wetland. Based upon my review of the maps the alignment could be located much closer to the west side of the canal than it is currently shown on the maps to further reduce construction and operations costs and fragmentation of range land. It is also the alternative that landowners would most likely support. Those attending the public scooping meeting proposed this alternative. However it would eliminate the potential for rail access to the Salina Industrial park, which is not supported by the Sevier County Commission or SUFCO.

Please contact me if you need more specific information and numbers to further define these comments. I look forward to continued involvement in this project. As you know my interest is to seek to design an affordable short line railroad that avoids and minimizes impacts on agricultural land owners, wetlands and other significant environmental considerations. This project is intended to retaining the businesses, jobs and taxbase in the region by providing a safer more affordable transportation system for coal and other bulk commodities.

Sincerely,

Jody A. Gale Area Agent Utah State University Extension Service

| ** 300 300 NV | 3. P. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. | mment                                 | sports. |
|---------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| No. 28 25 40  | 28 . 123 107 6 1           | 2011111111                            | 6.0°    |
| Mary Mary     | Million of the sand and    | بالمتكاملة والمستكم الماليات المتكلمة | desir   |

Image Attachment(s)